

# Effects of supplementing methionine hydroxy analogue in soybean meal and distiller's dried grain-based diets on the performance and nutrient retention of rainbow trout [*Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum)]

Z J Cheng<sup>1</sup>, R W Hardy<sup>1</sup> & M Blair<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hagerman Fish Culture Experiment Station, University of Idaho, Hagerman, ID, USA

<sup>2</sup>Aventis Animal Nutrition, Alpharetta, GA, USA

**Correspondence:** Z J Cheng, Hagerman Fish Culture Experiment Station, University of Idaho, 3059F National Fish Hatchery Road, Hagerman, ID 83332, USA. E-mail: chengz@uidaho.edu

## Abstract

Two experiments were conducted to evaluate the effects of supplementing methionine hydroxy analogue (MHA) on the performance, body composition, and nutrition retention of rainbow trout. In experiment 1, a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangement with two MHA supplemental levels (with and without) and four fish meal replacement levels (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%, replaced with soybean meal (SBM) and wheat gluten) was used. A fish meal diet was included as a control. Results showed that fish meal replacement levels had significant effects on fish weight gain (WG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), body moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and ash ( $P < 0.05$ ). In experiment 2, a fish meal reference diet and seven other diets were made using SBM and distiller's dried grain with solubles supplemented with 0, 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  diet to replace 50% of fish meal. WG, FCR, and apparent retention of crude protein and phosphorus were significantly improved in rainbow trout fed the diet containing 1.65 g MHA  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  diet compared with fish fed an equivalent diet without MHA.

**Keywords:** methionine hydroxy analogue, soybean meal, distiller's dried grain with solubles, rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*

## Introduction

The production of feeds for carnivorous fish such as rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum)

requires large amounts of fish meal. The amounts of fish meal used in trout diets range from 300 to 500 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  diet. Fish meal has traditionally been used in commercial fish feeds as the major source of dietary protein (Hardy 1999). However, fish meal production from capture fisheries has been more or less stable over the past decade, except in El Nino years when production decreases. When global fish meal production declines and fish meal prices increase, feed manufacturers turn to less expensive plant protein sources such as soybean meal (SBM) and distiller's dried grain with solubles (DDGS). Both products are abundant, inexpensive, and more sustainable compared with fish meal.

Aquaculture is one of the fastest growing food-producing activities in the world. However, it is subject to increasing environmental regulations. Nitrogen and phosphorus are two nutrients of concern in fish farm effluent water. Therefore, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus discharges is a critical strategy in reducing the environmental impacts of intensive aquaculture operations. SBM and DDGS are relatively high-protein ingredients, containing 440–480 and 270 g crude protein  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  respectively. SBM and DDGS also contain much less phosphorus than fish meal: 6.5, 6.6, and 17–42 g phosphorus  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  in SBM, DDGS, and fish meal respectively (NRC 1993). Therefore, substituting SBM and DDGS for fish meal in fish feeds reduces the total phosphorus level of the diet and lowers the level of phosphorus in hatchery discharge water. However, substitution levels of SBM and DDGS in fish feeds are limited by the levels of

lysine and methionine in these ingredients. Lysine contents are 29, 6.5, and 40–56 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and methionine contents are 6.5, 5.5, and 10–20 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in SBM, DDGS, and fish meal respectively. Thus, supplementing methionine hydroxy analogue (MHA), and other amino acids, if necessary, into trout diets to increase methionine (and other amino acids) levels may improve the nutritional values of diets containing SBM and DDGS. There is little information on the use of MHA in diets for aquatic animal species. Robinson, Otis, Poe & Wilson (1978) determined that the efficacy of MHA was about 26% compared with L-methionine for catfish *Ictalurus punctatus*. Results with warm-water fish are not always predictive of the response of cold-water fish species such as rainbow trout to dietary nutrients. Therefore, the effects of dietary MHA supplementation on rainbow trout performance using practical feed ingredients need to be determined by experimentation. This was the objective of the study.

## Materials and methods

### Experiment 1: experimental design and objective

The objective of experiment 1 was to determine the effect of supplementing MHA in diets in which SBM partially replaced fish meal on weight gain (WG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and whole-body composition of rainbow trout. This experiment involved nine experimental diets (Table 1). It was designed as a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement, with and without MHA supplementation, and four fish meal replacement levels (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%). A fish meal-based diet was included as a control. The MHA inclusion level in experimental diets was based on the analysed methionine level in fish meal, SBM (hexane extracted), wheat gluten, whole wheat, and corn gluten meal (data not shown). The MHA-supplemented diets were formulated to contain the same amount of methionine as that in the fish meal-based diet.

### Fish rearing and feed manufacturing

Rainbow trout (average weight 9.1 g) were selected from a large population, counted into groups of 30 fish per tank, and placed in 27 tanks (150 L), with three tanks randomly assigned to each diet. Fish tanks were supplied with 4 L min<sup>-1</sup> of untreated, constant-temperature (14.5 °C) spring water at the

Hagerman Fish Culture Experiment Station (University of Idaho, Hagerman, ID, USA). Fish were fed three times per day and 6 days per week to apparent satiation for a period of 56 days.

A total of nine diets were made by compression pelleting using a laboratory pellet mill (California Pellet Mill, San Francisco, CA, USA) without steam, air-dried for 48 h at about 20 °C, and stored at room temperature for 1 week until use. All diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric, and contained 450 g crude protein kg<sup>-1</sup> diet and a calculated digestible energy of 15.1 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (Table 1). The analysed crude protein values were close to expected values. The experiment was designed as completely randomized arrangements for statistical evaluation of data, and diets were assigned randomly to tanks within the fish-rearing laboratory.

### Sampling and analyses

Fish in each tank were bulk-weighed and counted at the beginning and end of the experiment. Mortalities were removed and recorded daily. From the initial population of the fish, and from each tank at the end of the experiment, five fish were killed, processed into a puree using a Robot Coupe food processor (Robot Coupe R-2, Ridgeland, MS, USA), and subsampled for chemical analyses. The moisture of feeds was determined by oven drying at 105 °C for 2 h according to AOAC (1990), and the moisture in fish samples was dried overnight. Crude protein was analysed by nitrogen determination (N × 6.25) using a LECO FP 428 nitrogen analyser (LECO Instruments, St Joseph, MI, USA), crude fat by extraction using LECO TFE 2000 (LECO Instruments) employing super-critical CO<sub>2</sub> as the extracting solvent, and ash by incineration at 550 °C in a muffle furnace. The experiment followed the guidelines approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Idaho (Moscow, ID, USA).

### Calculations and statistical methods

Fish WG was determined by fish final weight minus initial weight, and FCR was calculated as feed consumed (WG)<sup>-1</sup>. The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for fish fed all diets. In addition, two-way ANOVA was used to determine the effects of MHA supplemental levels and fish meal replacement levels on fish WG and FCR. Prism, version 3.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA), was used

**Table 1** Diet composition in experiment 1 (g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet, as-fed basis)

| Ingredients*               | Control | Without MHA |       |       |       | With MHA supplementation |       |       |       |
|----------------------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                            | D1†     | D25         | D50   | D75   | D100  | M25                      | M50   | M75   | M100  |
| Herring meal               | 328     | 246         | 164   | 82    | 0     | 246                      | 164   | 82    | 0     |
| Soybean meal               | 0       | 82          | 164   | 246   | 328   | 82                       | 164   | 246   | 328   |
| Wheat gluten               | 155     | 155         | 185   | 215   | 245   | 155                      | 182.9 | 212   | 239.3 |
| Whole wheat                | 223     | 186         | 156   | 121   | 86    | 185.4                    | 156.8 | 122   | 88.9  |
| Fish oil                   | 180     | 185         | 185   | 190   | 195   | 185                      | 185   | 190   | 195   |
| MHA‡                       | 0       | 0           | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0.6                      | 1.3   | 2     | 2.8   |
| Corn gluten (white)        | 90      | 122         | 122   | 122   | 122   | 122                      | 122   | 122   | 122   |
| Vitamin C (Stay-C)         | 3       | 3           | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3                        | 3     | 3     | 3     |
| Choline chloride           | 5       | 5           | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5                        | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| Trace mineral salt§        | 1       | 1           | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1                        | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Vitamin premix¶            | 15      | 15          | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15                       | 15    | 15    | 15    |
| <i>Chemical analyses</i>   |         |             |       |       |       |                          |       |       |       |
| Moisture                   | 66.1    | 65.8        | 63.3  | 66    | 68.9  | 62.2                     | 62.8  | 61.8  | 63.4  |
| Crude protein              | 441.2   | 440.4       | 457   | 454.5 | 479.8 | 447.9                    | 452.4 | 455.8 | 462.3 |
| Crude fat                  | 208.3   | 210.7       | 205.8 | 206.1 | 179.3 | 214.7                    | 214.3 | 202   | 212.1 |
| Ash                        | 58.4    | 51.6        | 43.8  | 36.2  | 30.5  | 48.9                     | 42.4  | 36.1  | 30.7  |
| <i>Calculated analyses</i> |         |             |       |       |       |                          |       |       |       |
| Lysine                     | 22.7    | 20.8        | 19    | 17.1  | 15.2  | 20.8                     | 19    | 17.1  | 15.2  |
| Methionine                 | 10      | 9.4         | 8.7   | 8     | 7.3   | 10                       | 10    | 10    | 10    |

\*Origin of ingredients: herring meal, wheat gluten, whole wheat, corn gluten, choline chloride, trace mineral salt, and vitamin premix were purchased from Nelson & Sons (Murray, UT, USA); soybean meal, fish oil, and vitamin C were obtained from Rangen (Buhl, ID, USA); MHA was obtained from Aventis Animal Nutrition (Alpharetta, GA, USA).

†D1 was a fish meal control diet; D25, D50, D75, and D100 represent diets that were formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal; M25, M50, M75, and M100 represent diets formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal supplemented with MHA.

‡Methionine hydroxy analogue, its supplementation was on an equimolar methionine basis.

§Composition of trace mineral salt (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>): Zn (as ZnSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O), 75; Mn (as MnSO<sub>4</sub>), 20; Cu (as CuSO<sub>4</sub> · 5H<sub>2</sub>O), 1.54; I (as KIO<sub>3</sub>), 10.

¶Composition of vitamin premix (g kg<sup>-1</sup> of premix, unless otherwise listed): D calcium pantothenate, 26.84; pyridoxine HCl, 7.7; riboflavin, 13.2; niacinamide, 55; folic acid, 2.2; thiamin mononitrate, 8.8; biotin, 0.088; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 0.0055; menadione sodium bisulphite complex, 0.00275; DL- $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate, 88 000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (stabilized), 110 000 IU; vitamin A palmitate (stabilized), 1 650 000 IU.

to perform statistical calculations, and  $P < 0.05$  was considered to be significant.

## Experiment 2

The objective of experiment 2 was to determine the optimum MHA supplemental level in SBM–DDGS-based practical diets for rainbow trout. Six diets were prepared using SBM supplemented with 4.8 g lysine kg<sup>-1</sup> diet and 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (diets 3–8), respectively, to replace 50% of fish meal (Table 2). In addition, a fish meal-based reference diet (diet 1) and a low lysine diet (diet 2) were also used. All diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric. Diet supplemented with 2.2 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (diet 7) contained the same amount of methionine as that in diet 1. A total of 720 rainbow trout (initial weight 49.5 g) were randomly

placed in 24 150-L fibreglass tanks with 30 fish per tank and three tanks per diet. Fish were fed three times per day and 6 days per week to apparent satiation. Five fish from each tank were killed and pooled for whole-body analyses at the end of the experiment. Diet preparation, fish rearing, and sample and data analyses were similar to those in experiment 1. In addition, phosphorus was analysed using the method of Taussky & Shorr (1953). The concentration of crude protein and phosphorus in fish body at the beginning and end of the experiment, and the amount of each nutrient fed during the experiment were used to calculate apparent nutrient retention (crude protein and phosphorus) during the 49-day experiment, expressed on a per-fish basis for each dietary treatment group. Nutrient retention was calculated as [nutrient in fish whole body (final) – nutrient in fish whole body (initial)] (nutrient intake)<sup>-1</sup> from feed. All the data in experiment 2 were analysed using one-way ANOVA.

**Table 2** Diet composition for experiment 2 (g kg<sup>-1</sup>, as-is basis)

| Ingredients <sup>†</sup>   | Diets <sup>*</sup> |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|                            | 1                  | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8      |
| Herring meal               | 350                | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175    |
| Soybean meal               | 0                  | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175   | 175    |
| DDGS <sup>‡</sup>          | 185                | 185   | 185   | 185   | 185   | 185   | 185   | 185    |
| Fish oil                   | 192                | 198   | 198   | 198   | 198   | 198   | 198   | 198    |
| Whole wheat                | 149                | 81    | 83    | 83.55 | 84.1  | 84.65 | 86.4  | 86.4   |
| Corn gluten (white)        | 100                | 162   | 155.2 | 154.1 | 153   | 151.9 | 149.6 | 149.05 |
| Lysine                     | 0                  | 0     | 4.8   | 4.8   | 4.8   | 4.8   | 4.8   | 4.8    |
| MHA                        | 0                  | 0     | 0     | 0.55  | 1.1   | 1.65  | 2.2   | 2.75   |
| Vitamin C                  | 3                  | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3      |
| Choline                    | 5                  | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5      |
| TM salt                    | 1                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1      |
| Vitamin premix             | 15                 | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15     |
| <i>Chemical analyses</i>   |                    |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| Moisture                   | 61                 | 62.8  | 62.2  | 63.4  | 60    | 61.6  | 59.9  | 59     |
| Crude protein              | 380.4              | 400.7 | 392   | 400.1 | 398.9 | 402.6 | 393.4 | 399.5  |
| Crude fat                  | 217.4              | 203.5 | 213.5 | 209.3 | 212.9 | 210.8 | 212.7 | 214.3  |
| Ash                        | 83.6               | 62.9  | 62.7  | 60.6  | 61.3  | 63.3  | 61.7  | 64.2   |
| Phosphorus                 | 9.7                | 8     | 8.2   | 8.2   | 8.2   | 8.2   | 8.2   | 8.2    |
| <i>Calculated analyses</i> |                    |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| Lysine                     | 22                 | 16    | 22    | 22    | 22    | 22    | 22    | 22     |
| Methionine                 | 10.7               | 8.7   | 8.7   | 9.2   | 9.7   | 10.2  | 10.7  | 11.2   |

<sup>\*</sup>Diet 1 is a fish meal-based reference diet; diets 2–8 represent diets using soybean meal to replace 50% of fish meal and supplemented with 0, 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet, respectively, on an equimolar methionine basis (MHA: methionine hydroxy analogue).

<sup>†</sup>Origin of ingredients, methods of MHA supplementation, and compositions of trace mineral salt and vitamin premix were the same as those used in experiment 1.

<sup>‡</sup>Distiller's dried grain with solubles, obtained from Ranggen.

## Results

### Experiment 1

The initial weight, final weight, WG, and FCR of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 56 days are presented in Table 3. There were no significant differences in initial fish weight ( $P = 0.99$ ); fish were selected based on no visual defect and sign of diseases. Fish fed SBM-based diets to replace 25% (D25) and 50% (D50) of fish meal did not grow significantly different from fish fed fish meal-based control diet (D1). However, fish fed 75% (D75) and 100% (D100) SBM-based diets grew slower than fish fed D1 ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Fish fed SBM-based diets to replace 25%, 50%, and 75% fish meal had FCR values similar to those fed D1, but fish fed D100 had the highest FCR. Fish fed SBM-based diets supplemented with MHA had a pattern of WG and FCR similar to fish fed SBM-based diets without MHA supplementation. Two-way ANOVA (Table 3) showed that there were no significant interactions between MHA supplemental levels and

fish meal replacement levels in WG ( $P = 0.4463$ ) and FCR ( $P = 0.83$ ). The MHA supplementation did not affect WG ( $P = 0.8496$ ) and FCR ( $P = 0.8405$ ). However, fish meal replacement levels had significant effects on WG and FCR ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

The whole-body composition of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 56 days is presented in Table 4. There were significant differences in fish body moisture, crude protein, and ash ( $P < 0.05$ ), but not crude fat ( $P = 0.5470$ ). Fish fed diet M100 had significantly higher body moisture than those fed D1 and M50; fish fed D1 had the highest crude protein levels; and fish fed D25 and M25 had higher ash levels than those fed D75, D100, and M75. Two-way ANOVA (Table 4) showed that there were no significant interactions between MHA supplemental levels and fish meal replacement levels in fish body moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and ash ( $P > 0.05$ ). MHA supplemental levels did not affect fish body moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and ash ( $P > 0.05$ ). However, fish meal replacement levels had significant effects on fish

**Table 3** Initial weight, final weight, weight gain, and feed conversion ratio of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 56 days (mean ± SD, N = 3 tanks)\*

| Item  | Control               | Without MHA             |                       |                        |                       | With MHA supplementation     |                       |                        |                       | P value     |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|   | D1                    | D25                     | D50                   | D75                    | D100                  | M25                          | M50                   | M75                    | M100                  |             |
| Initial weight (g)                              | 9.1±0.1               | 9.2±0.2                 | 9.1±0.2               | 9.1±0.2                | 9.2±0.2               | 9.2±0.3                      | 9.1±0.2               | 9.1±0.1                | 9.2±0.2               | 0.9992      |
| Final weight (g)                                | 65.5±1.2 <sup>a</sup> | 62.9±4.6 <sup>a</sup>   | 64.6±3.5 <sup>a</sup> | 54.4±3.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 43.0±1.2 <sup>c</sup> | 67.0±5.9 <sup>a</sup>        | 61.3±4.5 <sup>a</sup> | 52.9±4.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 42.6±3.5 <sup>c</sup> | <0.0001     |
| Weight gain (g)                                 | 56.4±1.3 <sup>a</sup> | 53.7±4.7 <sup>a</sup>   | 55.5±3.3 <sup>a</sup> | 45.3±3.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 33.9±1.1 <sup>c</sup> | 57.8±5.8 <sup>a</sup>        | 52.2±4.4 <sup>a</sup> | 43.8±4.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 33.4±3.3 <sup>c</sup> | <0.0001     |
| Feed conversion ratio (feed/gain)               | 1.02±0.0 <sup>a</sup> | 0.93±0.0 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.97±0.0 <sup>a</sup> | 1.15±0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.40±0.1 <sup>b</sup> | 0.95±0.0 <sup>a</sup>        | 1.02±0.0 <sup>a</sup> | 1.17±0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.34±0.2 <sup>b</sup> | 0.0001      |
|   |                       | MHA supplemental levels |                       |                        |                       | Fish meal replacement levels |                       |                        |                       | Interaction |
| <i>Two-way ANOVA analyses (P value summary)</i> |                       |                         |                       |                        |                       |                              |                       |                        |                       |             |
| Weight gain                                     |                       | 0.8496                  |                       |                        |                       | < 0.0001                     |                       |                        |                       | 0.4463      |
| Feed conversion ratio                           |                       | 0.8405                  |                       |                        |                       | < 0.0001                     |                       |                        |                       | 0.8300      |

Means in the same row that do not share a common superscript differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*D1 was a fish meal control diet; D25, D50, D75, and D100 represent diets that were formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal; M25, M50, M75, and M100 represent diets formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal supplemented with methionine hydroxy analogue (MHA).

**Table 4** Whole-body composition of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 56 days (% mean ± SD, N = 15 pooled fish)\*

| Item  | Control               | Without MHA             |                        |                        |                        | With MHA supplementation     |                       |                        |                       | P value     |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|   | D1                    | D25                     | D50                    | D75                    | D100                   | M25                          | M50                   | M75                    | M100                  |             |
| Moisture  | 69.2±0.6 <sup>a</sup> | 70.1±1.0 <sup>ab</sup>  | 69.7±0.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 69.6±1.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 70.5±0.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 69.9±0.2 <sup>ab</sup>       | 69.3±0.6 <sup>a</sup> | 70.1±0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 71.5±0.9 <sup>b</sup> | 0.0316      |
| Crude protein                                   | 14.6±0.4 <sup>a</sup> | 13.6±0.3 <sup>b</sup>   | 13.2±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.5±0.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 12.8±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.5±0.2 <sup>b</sup>        | 13.7±0.2 <sup>b</sup> | 13.5±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 12.8±0.6 <sup>b</sup> | 0.0005      |
| Crude fat                                       | 14.7±0.9              | 14.0±1.2                | 14.7±0.5               | 15.1±1.4               | 15.2±0.4               | 14.8±0.5                     | 15.2±0.7              | 14.8±0.5               | 14.0±0.2              | 0.5470      |
| Ash   | 2.7±0.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.1±0.5 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.5±0.3 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.1±0.3 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.9±0.3 <sup>c</sup>   | 2.8±0.2 <sup>a</sup>         | 2.4±0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.3±0.3 <sup>bc</sup>  | 2.5±0.5 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.0156      |
|   |                       | MHA supplemental levels |                        |                        |                        | Fish meal replacement levels |                       |                        |                       | Interaction |
| <i>Two-way ANOVA analyses (P value summary)</i> |                       |                         |                        |                        |                        |                              |                       |                        |                       |             |
| Moisture  |                       | 0.5026                  |                        |                        |                        | 0.0148                       |                       |                        |                       | 0.3780      |
| Crude protein                                   |                       | 0.0065                  |                        |                        |                        | 0.4682                       |                       |                        |                       | 0.6249      |
| Crude fat                                       |                       | 0.8055                  |                        |                        |                        | 0.5774                       |                       |                        |                       | 0.1916      |
| Ash   |                       | 0.2835                  |                        |                        |                        | 0.0041                       |                       |                        |                       | 0.1509      |

Means in the same row that do not share a common superscript differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*D1 was a fish meal control diet; D25, D50, D75, and D100 represent diets that were formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal; M25, M50, M75, and M100 represent diets formulated to replace 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of fish meal, respectively, using soybean meal supplemented with methionine hydroxy analogue (MHA).

body moisture, crude protein, and ash ( $P < 0.05$ ), but not on crude fat ( $P = 0.5774$ ).

### Experiment 2

The initial weight, final weight, WG, FCR, and survival of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days are presented in Table 5. There were significant differences in fish final weight, WG, and FCR

( $P < 0.05$ ), but not in survival ( $P = 0.4663$ ). Fish fed a diet with MHA supplementation at 1.65 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (diet 6) grew faster than fish fed a diet without lysine and MHA supplementation (diet 2) or without MHA supplementation (diet 3). Fish fed diet 6 also had a lower FCR than those fed a diet with the highest MHA supplemental level (diet 8). There were no significant differences in WG and FCR between fish fed diet 1 and those fed all other diets, indicating that SBM could be used in rainbow trout diets to replace

**Table 5** Initial weight, final weight, weight gain, feed conversion ratio, and survival of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $N = 3$  tanks)

| Items                             | Diets*                        |                              |                              |                               |                               |                              |                               |                               | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                                   | 1                             | 2                            | 3                            | 4                             | 5                             | 6                            | 7                             | 8                             |         |
| Initial weight (g)                | 49.3 $\pm$ 0.4                | 49.4 $\pm$ 0.5               | 49.5 $\pm$ 0.7               | 49.5 $\pm$ 0.7                | 49.4 $\pm$ 0.6                | 49.5 $\pm$ 0.8               | 49.4 $\pm$ 0.5                | 49.4 $\pm$ 0.5                | 1.0000  |
| Final weight (g)                  | 114.6 $\pm$ 4.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 105.1 $\pm$ 3.4 <sup>b</sup> | 106.8 $\pm$ 4.3 <sup>b</sup> | 110.3 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>ab</sup> | 113.7 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 118.2 $\pm$ 4.9 <sup>a</sup> | 110.5 $\pm$ 5.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 107.7 $\pm$ 5.0 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.0267  |
| Weight gain (g)                   | 65.3 $\pm$ 4.6 <sup>ab</sup>  | 55.8 $\pm$ 3.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 56.7 $\pm$ 4.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 60.8 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>ab</sup>  | 64.3 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>ab</sup>  | 68.8 $\pm$ 4.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 61.2 $\pm$ 5.9 <sup>ab</sup>  | 58.3 $\pm$ 4.6 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.0183  |
| Feed conversion ratio (feed/gain) | 1.16 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.28 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.29 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.22 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.16 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.08 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.23 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.30 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.0482  |
| Survival (%)                      | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0               | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0              | 98.9 $\pm$ 1.9               | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0               | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0               | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0              | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0               | 100.0 $\pm$ 0.0               | 0.4663  |

Means in the same row that do not share a common superscript differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*Diet 1 is a fish meal-based reference diet; diets 2–8 represent diets using soybean meal to replace 50% of fish meal and supplemented with 0, 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet, respectively, on an equimolar methionine basis (MHA: methionine hydroxy analogue).

**Table 6** Whole-body composition of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days (% , mean  $\pm$  SD,  $N = 15$  pooled fish)

| Items         | Diets*                      |                            |                             |                             |                            |                             |                             |                             | P value |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|               | 1                           | 2                          | 3                           | 4                           | 5                          | 6                           | 7                           | 8                           |         |
| Moisture      | 70.9 $\pm$ 0.1              | 71.3 $\pm$ 0.1             | 71.4 $\pm$ 0.1              | 71.1 $\pm$ 0.4              | 71.6 $\pm$ 0.5             | 71.4 $\pm$ 0.6              | 71.5 $\pm$ 0.3              | 71.7 $\pm$ 0.5              | 0.2386  |
| Crude protein | 15.8 $\pm$ 0.3              | 15.1 $\pm$ 0.2             | 16.0 $\pm$ 0.2              | 14.6 $\pm$ 2.2              | 16.0 $\pm$ 0.3             | 15.8 $\pm$ 0.7              | 16.3 $\pm$ 0.4              | 15.7 $\pm$ 0.3              | 0.2983  |
| Crude fat     | 11.4 $\pm$ 0.6              | 11.4 $\pm$ 0.5             | 10.8 $\pm$ 0.6              | 10.6 $\pm$ 1.5              | 10.8 $\pm$ 0.4             | 11.0 $\pm$ 0.7              | 10.9 $\pm$ 0.5              | 11.2 $\pm$ 0.7              | 0.8633  |
| Ash           | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a</sup> | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.5 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>b</sup> | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.6 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.1 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.0359  |
| Phosphorus    | 0.29 $\pm$ 0.0              | 0.29 $\pm$ 0.0             | 0.28 $\pm$ 0.0              | 0.28 $\pm$ 0.0              | 0.27 $\pm$ 0.01            | 0.28 $\pm$ 0.0              | 0.28 $\pm$ 0.01             | 0.27 $\pm$ 0.0              | 0.4234  |

Means in the same row that do not share a common superscript differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*Diet 1 is a fish meal-based reference diet; diets 2–8 represent diets using soybean meal to replace 50% of fish meal and supplemented with 0, 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet, respectively, on an equimolar methionine basis (MHA: methionine hydroxy analogue).

50% of fish meal. Fish fed diet 6 also had the highest WG and the lowest FCR.

The whole-body composition of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days is presented in Table 6. The MHA supplementation did not affect fish body moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and phosphorus ( $P > 0.05$ ), but affected ash level ( $P = 0.0359$ ). Fish fed a diet without lysine and MHA supplementation (diet 2) had a significantly lower ash level than fish fed a diet containing 1.1 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (diet 5), but had no significant differences compared with fish fed other diets.

The apparent retention of crude protein and phosphorus in rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days is presented in Table 7. Significant differences existed in the apparent retention of crude protein and phosphorus ( $P < 0.05$ ) for fish fed different diets. Fish fed diets 3, 5, 6, and 7 did not have significant differences in the apparent retention of crude protein compared with fish fed diet 1, but fish fed diets 2, 4, and 8 had a significantly lower apparent retention of crude

protein compared with fish fed diet 1. The results showed that fish fed the MHA-supplemented diet at 1.65 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet had the greatest apparent crude protein retention. There were no significant differences in the apparent phosphorus retention between fish fed MHA-supplemented diets and fish fed diet 1, but fish fed diet 6 had a significantly higher apparent phosphorus retention than fish fed diet 8. The results indicated that fish fed a diet with 1.65 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet supplementation had the highest apparent phosphorus retention.

## Discussion

MHA has been used in poultry feeds successfully in some studies (Gutteridge & Lewis 1964; Katz & Baker 1975; Harter & Baker 1977; Harms, Eldred & Blakeslee 1977; Christensen, Anderson & Dobson 1980; Muramatsu, Yokota, Okumura & Tasaki 1984; Rostagno & Barbosa 1995). However, most of these studies were

**Table 7** Apparent retention of crude protein and phosphorus of rainbow trout fed experimental diets for 49 days (%; mean  $\pm$  SD,  $N = 15$  pooled fish)

| Items         | Diets*                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                             |                              |                              | P value |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
|               | 1                            | 2                            | 3                            | 4                            | 5                            | 6                           | 7                            | 8                            |         |
| Crude protein | 35.4 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 27.7 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>c</sup>  | 31.6 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>bc</sup> | 27.5 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>c</sup>  | 34.4 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>bd</sup> | 35.8 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>b</sup> | 34.1 $\pm$ 3.7 <sup>bd</sup> | 29.6 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.0008  |
| Phosphorus    | 25.9 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>ab</sup> | 28.4 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 25.9 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>ab</sup> | 27.2 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 26.9 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 30.7 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>a</sup> | 27.0 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 23.8 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.0333  |

Means in the same row that do not share a common superscript differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*Diet 1 is a fish meal-based reference diet; diets 2–8 represent diets using soybean meal to replace 50% of fish meal and supplemented with 0, 0, 0.55, 1.1, 1.65, 2.2, and 2.75 g MHA kg<sup>-1</sup> diet, respectively, on an equimolar methionine basis (MHA: methionine hydroxy analogue).

focused on comparing the efficacy of MHA and methionine, and the experimental treatments in most of these studies were not large enough to elucidate the optimum level of MHA supplementation in animal feeds to optimize animal growth performance.

The results from experiment 1 suggested that SBM could replace up to 50% of fish meal in rainbow trout feed formulations without significantly reducing fish WG and FCR, but MHA supplementation did not affect fish performance. The reason for this may be that the dietary methionine levels were already high (10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet) and lysine levels were low in MHA-supplemented diets; the methionine and cystine requirement for rainbow trout is 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (NRC 1993). The lysine to methionine ratios in fish meal-based control diet and diets without MHA supplementation were higher than those in diets with MHA supplementation. The dietary lysine level at 21 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet was reported to maximize rainbow trout growth performance (Ogino 1980; Cheng, Hardy & Usry 2003). In the present study, fish fed a diet containing 20.8 g lysine kg<sup>-1</sup> diet (M25) had the highest numerical WG, suggesting that the lysine to methionine ratio played an important role in fish growth, and the optimum amino acid ratio in fish diets needs to be investigated.

Experiment 2 was based on the results of experiment 1. Experiment 1 showed that SBM could replace 50% of fish meal without significantly reducing fish WG and FCR; thus, SBM was used in diets to replace 50% of fish meal in experiment 2 (Table 2). DDGS was chosen based on its low methionine level and was included at 185 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet in all diets. The results of experiment 1 also showed that the lysine level in experimental diets may be low; thus, lysine was supplemented in diets 3–8. MHA supplementation increased fish WG and improved FCR (diets 3–6). Higher MHA supplemental levels did not improve fish

performance further. The positive effects of supplemental amino acids, especially lysine and methionine, have also been demonstrated by Webster, Tidwell, Goodgame, Yancey & Mackey (1992), El-Dahhar & El-Shazly (1993), Bai & Gatlin (1994), Robinson & Li (1994), Reigh (1999), and Roubach & Lovell (2001).

## Conclusions

Based on the results obtained from both experiments, we conclude that SBM can replace up to 50% of fish meal or can be used at a 17.5% inclusion level in rainbow trout feed formulations without significantly reducing fish WG and FCR, and MHA supplementation does not affect fish WG, FCR, and body composition. MHA supplementation at 1.65 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet in SBM-DDGS-based practical diets improves the WG, FCR, and apparent retention of crude protein and phosphorus for rainbow trout. Thus, MHA has a positive effect on fish performance when a diet deficient in methionine and cystine is used.

## References

- AOAC. (1990) *Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, 15th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Bai S.C. & Gatlin III D.M. (1994) Effects of L-lysine supplementation of diets with different protein levels and sources on channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus* (Rafinesque). *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* **25**, 465–474.
- Cheng Z.J., Hardy R.W. & Usry J.L. (2003) Effects of lysine supplementation in plant protein based diets on the performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients. *Aquaculture* **215**, 255–265.
- Christensen A.C., Anderson J.O. & Dobson D.C. (1980) Factors affecting efficacy of methionine hydroxy analogue for chicks fed AA diets. *Poultry Science* **59**, 2480–2484.

- El-Dahhar A.A. & El-Shazly K. (1993) Effect of essential amino acids (methionine and lysine) and treated oil in fish diet on growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia, *Tilapia nilotica* (L.). *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* **24**, 731–739.
- Gutteridge D.G.A. & Lewis D. (1964) Chick bio-assay of methionine and cystine. II. Assay of soybean meals, ground nut meals, meat meals, methionine isomers and methionine analogue. *British Poultry Science* **5**, 193–200.
- Hardy R.W. (1999) Aquaculture's rapid growth requirements for alternate protein sources. *Feed Management* **50**, 25–28.
- Harms R.H., Eldred A.R. & Blakeslee J.A. (1977) The relative efficiency of DL-methionine and methionine hydroxy analogue-calcium (MHAC) in the diet of turkey poults. *Poultry Science* **56**, 186–188.
- Harter J.M. & Baker D.H. (1977) Sulfur amino acid activity of glutathione, DL- $\alpha$ -hydroxy-methionine, and  $\alpha$ -keto-methionine in chicks. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* **156**, 201–204.
- Katz R.S. & Baker D.H. (1975) Factors associated with utilization of the calcium salt of methionine hydroxy analogue by the young chick. *Poultry Science* **54**, 584–591.
- Muramatsu T., Yokota H., Okumura J. & Tasaki I. (1984) Biological efficacy of liquid methionine and MHA-free acid in chicks. *Poultry Science* **63**, 1453–1456.
- National Research Council (NRC). (1993) *Nutrient Requirements of Fish*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Ogino C. (1980) Requirements of carp and rainbow trout for essential amino acids. *Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries* **46**, 171–174.
- Reigh R.C. (1999) Production characteristics of pond-raised channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* fed diets with and without animal protein for three growing seasons. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **30**, 154–160.
- Robinson E.H. & Li M.H. (1994) Use of plant proteins in catfish feeds: replacement of soybean meal with cottonseed meal and replacement of fish meal with soybean meal and cottonseed meal. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **25**, 271–276.
- Robinson E.H., Otis W.A., Poe W.E. & Wilson R.P. (1978) Utilization of dietary sulfur compounds by fingerling channel catfish: L-methionine, DL-methionine, methionine hydroxy analogue, taurine and inorganic sulfate. *Journal of Nutrition* **108**, 1932–1936.
- Roostagno H.S. & Barbosa W.A. (1995) Biological efficacy and absorption of DL-methionine hydroxy analogue free acid compared to DL-methionine in chickens as affected by heat stress. *British Poultry Science* **36**, 303–312.
- Roubach R. & Lovell R.T. (2001) Utilization of free lysine (L-lysine HCl) versus protein-bound lysine (soybean meal) in commercial type diets by second-year channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* in production ponds. In: *Aquaculture 2001: Book of Abstracts*, p. 560. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, USA.
- Taussky H.H. & Shorr E.E. (1953) A microcolorimetric method for the determination of inorganic phosphorus. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **202**, 675–685.
- Webster C.D., Tidwell J.H., Goodgame L.S., Yancey D.H. & Mackey L. (1992) Use of soybean meal and distillers grains with solubles as partial or total replacement of fish meal in diets for channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. *Aquaculture* **106**, 301–309.



Copyright of Aquaculture Research is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.

Copyright of Aquaculture Research is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.