

# Feeding DDGS to Pigs


---

Dr. Jerry Shurson

Department of Animal Science


University of Minnesota

# North American DDGS Production



Source: Sean Broderick, Commodity Specialists Company

# Estimated DDGS Usage in U.S. Swine Feeds 2001-2005 (Metric Tonnes)





# Current Commercial Dietary DDGS Inclusion Rates and Estimated Usage

---

- Grower-finisher diets ~85-90%
  - 10-15% dietary inclusion rates
  
- Sow diets ~5-10%
  - Gestation - up to 30% dietary inclusion
  - Lactation - 5-10% of the diet
  
- Late nursery diets < 5%
  - Added at 5-10% of the diet



# Maximum Inclusion Rates of Golden High Quality DDGS in Swine Diets

(Based Upon University of Minnesota Performance Trials)

---

- Nursery pigs (> 7 kg)
  - Up to 25 %
- Grow-finish pigs
  - Up to 20% (higher levels may reduce pork fat quality)
- Gestating sows
  - Up to 50%
- Lactating sows
  - Up to 30%

Assumptions: no mycotoxins

formulate on a digestible amino acid and available phosphorus basis

# Benefits and Limitations of Feeding DDGS Diets to Swine

## Benefits

- Energy value = corn
- High available P
  - Reduce diet P supplementation
  - May reduce manure P excretion
- Partially replaces some corn, soybean meal, and dicalcium phosphate and reduces diet cost
- Commonly fed at 10% of diet
  - Higher levels can be used if amino acids are supplemented
- Only “golden” DDGS should be used
  - High amino acid digestibility
- Appears to reduce gut health problems due to ileitis
- May increase litter size weaned when fed at high levels to sows
- Increases pig weight gain when fed to sows during lactation

## Limitations

- Low protein (lysine) quality
  - add other supplements high in lysine and tryptophan
- Variability in nutrient content and digestibility among sources
- Manure N excretion increases
- Belly firmness and pork fat quality may be reduced when > 20% in the diet
- Fine particle size causes flowability problems in bins and feeders
- Difficult to pellet and maintain throughput of pellet mills
- Mycotoxin free grain should be used to produce ethanol and DDGS
- Short-term feed intake may be reduced when feeding high DDGS diets to sows

# **DDGS Varies in Nutrient Content and Digestibility, Color, and Particle Size Among U.S. Sources**




**Averages, Coefficients of Variation, and Ranges of Selected Nutrients Among 32 U.S. DDGS Sources (100% Dry Matter Basis)**


<b>Nutrient</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Range</b>
Dry matter, %	89.3	87.3 – 92.4
Crude protein, %	30.9 (4.7)	28.7 – 32.9
Crude fat, %	10.7 (16.4)	8.8 – 12.4
Crude fiber, %	7.2 (18.0)	5.4 – 10.4
Ash, %	6.0 (26.6)	3.0 – 9.8
Swine ME, kcal/kg	3810 (3.5)	3504 – 4048
Lysine, %	0.90 (11.4)	0.61 – 1.06
Phosphorus, %	0.75 (19.4)	0.42 – 0.99



## Standardized Ileal Lysine Digestibility Coefficients Among 10 “Golden” Corn DDGS Sources (Stein et al, 2005)




# Prediction of Digestible Lysine from Optical Density (400 to 700 nm)




Urriola et al. (2006)


# Prediction of Digestible Lysine in DDGS Using Front Face Fluorescence



Urriola et al. (2006)



**Variation in Particle Size Among DDGS Samples Representing  
25 U.S. Ethanol Plants  
2005**



**Variation in Bulk Density (Lbs/Cubic Ft.) Among DDGS  
Samples Representing 25 U.S. Ethanol Plants  
1/05**





# Feeding High Quality DDGS to Weaned Pigs

---





# Nursery Experiments

---

- Experiment 1
  - Pigs weaned at  $19.0 \pm 0.3$  d of age
  - Weighed  $7.10 \pm 0.07$  kg
- Experiment 2
  - Pigs weaned at  $16.9 \pm 0.4$  d of age
  - Weighed  $5.26 \pm 0.07$  kg
- Pigs were fed a commercial pelleted diet (d 0 to 3 postweaning)
- Phase II (d 4-17) and Phase III (d 18 – 35) diets were **formulated on a digestible amino acid basis.**
  - Diets contained 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, or 25% DDGS





# Results

---

- Feeding Phase II and Phase III nursery diets containing up to 25% DDGS:
  - Had no effect on ADG, ADFI, F/G for pigs weaned at 19 d of age and weighing at least 15 lbs
  - Linearly reduced ADG and ADFI in Phase II but not Phase III for pigs weaned at 17 d of age and weighing 11.5 lbs.

# Effects of Feeding DDGS to Grow-Finish Pigs on Growth Performance, Carcass, and Pork Quality

---



# Take Home Messages from 4 Experiments

---

- Diets containing 10% DDGS will provide the same ADG as pigs fed typical corn-SBM diets
  - Diets formulated on a total lysine basis
  - Diets formulated on a digestible amino acid basis
  
- If >10% DDGS is added to G-F diets, diets should be formulated on a digestible amino acid basis to achieve good performance.
  
- Feed intake may decline with increasing levels of DDGS in the diet
  - Unclear why different studies show different feed intake responses
  - Diets containing >10% DDGS may result in improved feed efficiency



# Take Home Messages from 4 Experiments

---

- Carcass yield is slightly linearly reduced with increasing dietary DDGS levels
  - No difference in % lean
  - No difference in backfat
  - May be due to increased viscera weight from increased dietary fiber?
- Backfat thickness is unaffected, and may be slightly reduced, with increasing dietary levels of DDGS
- Bellies will be less firm as higher dietary levels of DDGS are fed
- Belly thickness may or may not be affected by increasing dietary DDGS levels
- No concern about reduced shelf life and fat oxidation in loins under typical retail storage conditions for at least 28 days.
- Muscle quality and eating characteristics of loins and bacon are unaffected by feeding diets containing increasing levels of DDGS

# Fat Quality Characteristics of Market Pigs Fed Corn-Soy Diets Containing 0, 10, 20, and 30% DDGS

	0 %	10%	20%	30%
<b>Belly thickness, cm</b>	<b>3.15<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>3.00<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>2.84<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>2.71<sup>b</sup></b>
<b>Belly firmness score, degrees</b>	<b>27.3<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>24.4<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>25.1<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>21.3<sup>b</sup></b>
<b>Adjusted belly firmness score, degrees</b>	<b>25.9<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>23.8<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>25.4<sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>22.4<sup>b</sup></b>
<b>Iodine number</b>	<b>66.8<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>68.6<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>70.6<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>72.0<sup>c</sup></b>


Means within a row lacking common superscripts differ (P < .05).

## Effect of Formulating G-F Diets on a Digestible Amino Acid Basis, with Increasing Levels of DDGS, on Overall Growth Performance

	<b>0% DDGS</b>	<b>10% DDGS</b>	<b>20% DDGS</b>	<b>30% DDGS</b>
<b>Initial wt., lbs</b>	49.7	50.3	49.7	49.7
<b>Final wt., lbs</b>	252	253	251	250
<b>ADG, lbs</b>	2.00	2.00	1.99	1.99
<b>ADFI, lbs</b>	5.76	5.58	5.55	5.45
<b>F/G</b>	2.88	2.80	2.79	2.75


Xu et al. (2006) unpublished  
Data from 32 pens, 8 pens/treatment

# Effects of Dietary DDGS Level on % Carcass Lean



Xu et al. (2006) unpublished  
30% DDGS tended to be higher than 0% DDGS (P = 0.11)

# Adding DDGS to Grower-Finisher Diets Slightly Reduces Carcass Yield



Xu et al. (2006) unpublished  
Linear effect ( $P < 0.01$ )





# Unique, Value-Added Attributes of DDGS Have Been Identified

---

- DDGS may improve gut health related to *Lawsonia intracellularis*
- Phytase and DDGS can reduce manure P excretion
- Feeding high levels of DDGS to sows may improve litter size weaned and pig weaning weights


# Healthy



# Ileitis




# Effect of Dietary Treatment on Lesion Length (21 d Post-Challenge) Experiment 2




\* Effect of disease challenge ( $P < .01$ ).

# Effect of Dietary Treatment on Lesion Severity (21 d Post-Challenge) Experiment 2



\* Effect of disease challenge ( $P < .01$ ).

# Effect of Dietary Treatment on Lesion Prevalence (21 d Post-Challenge) Experiment 2




\* Effect of disease challenge ( $P < .01$ ).



# **Effects of Feeding DDGS to Swine on Dry Matter Digestibility (Manure Volume)**

---


# Effects of Adding Phytase and/or 20% DDGS to Corn-SBM Diets on DM Digestibility in G-F Pigs



Xu et al. (2006)

## Effect of Adding Phytase and/or 20% DDGS to Corn-SBM Diets on DM Digestibility in Nursery Pigs


---



**DDGS reduced DM digestibility 3.3% (P = .01)**




## Effect of Feeding Corn-SBM Diets With or Without 20% DDGS or Phytase to Nursery Pigs on Fecal Phosphorus Concentration (%)



a,b Means with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < .05$ ).

## Effect of Feeding Corn-SBM Diets With or Without 20% DDGS or Phytase to Nursery Pigs on Daily Fecal Phosphorus Excretion (g/d)




a,b,c Means with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < .05$ ).


x,y Means with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < .15$ ).

# Feeding High Quality DDGS to Sows

---




## Effect of Feeding 0 and 50% DDGS Gestation Diets and 0 and 20% DDGS Lactation Diets on Sow Lactation ADFI




a,b,x,y Different superscripts indicate significant difference (P < .10).

# Effect of Feeding 0 or 50% DDGS Gestation Diets and 0 or 20% DDGS Lactation Diets on Pigs Weaned/Litter



a,b,x,y Different superscripts indicate significant difference (P < .10).

# Effects of Feeding Increasing Levels of DDGS to Lactating Sows on Average Daily Feed Intake and Average Pig Weight at Weaning



Utilized 323 lactating sows (65 sows/dietary treatment)  
Song et al. (2006), unpublished



# U of M DDGS Web Site

## [www.ddgs.umn.edu](http://www.ddgs.umn.edu)

---

We have developed a DDGS web site featuring:

- \* nutrient profiles and photos of DDGS samples
- \* research summaries
  - swine, poultry, dairy, & beef
  - DDGS quality
- \* presentations given
- \* links to other DDGS related web sites
- \* international audiences