USE OF DISTILLERS GRAINS AND CO-PRODUCTS IN RUMINANTS DIETS

J-M. Akayezu J. G. Linn, S. R. Harty, and J.M. Cassady University of Minnesota, St. Paul

DEFINITION-CLASSIFICATION

Grain ——— Whole spent stillage

- Thin stillage

- Condensed distillers' solubles (CDS)
- Dried distillers' solubles (DDS)
- Wet distillers' grains
 - Dried distillers' grains (DDG)
- Thin stillage + wet distillers' grains
 - Dried distillers' grains with solubles (DDGS)

COMPOSITION OF DISTILLERS PRODUCTS

Item	WDG	TS	DDG	DS	DDGS
DM	27.9	4.4	92.0	42.1	92.0
			% of D	M	
СР	28.1	19.0	23.0	29.7	28.7
NDF	44.3	13.3	43.0	23.0	44.0
EE	15.4	9.2	9.8	9.2	10.3
Ash	3.1	6.7	2.4	7.8	4.8

Source: NRC Dairy, 1989; Lee et al., 1991; Dong et al., 1987; Belyea, 1994; Ham et al., 1994.

NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF DDGS: A SURVEY

Objective:

- To assess the variation in nutrient composition of distillers' dried grains with solubles (DDGS) across and within ethanol production facilities.
- To evaluate commonly used predictors of protein quality of DDGS.

Sample collection:

 8 ethanol production facilities were sampled (Location: MN (5), SD (2), and NE (1))

 Samples from each facility were collected on the first and third Tuesday of each month over a 6-month period (Dec. 96 to May 97)

Measurements:

 \diamond

- Nutrients content > DM, CP, NDF, ADF, fat, and ash
 - Color score: > L (lightness: black to white) > a (redness) > b (yellowness)

Measurements:

- CP fractions:
 - Soluble protein (SP).
 - Acid detergent insoluble protein (ADIP).
 - Rumen degradable protein (RDP).
 - Rumen undegraded protein that is available in the intestine (IARUP).

Statistical analysis:

- **General Linear Model procedure of SAS.**
- Model: Y = P + M(P)
- Y = observed measurement
- P = effect of ethanol production facility (fixed effect)
- M(P) = effect of month of sampling nested within production facility (random effect)

NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF DDGS¹

Item	Mean	Range
DM, %	92.7	81.9 – 96.9
CP, % of DM	30.1	25.9 - 36.3
NDF, % of DM	48.8	38.9 - 61.5
ADF, % of DM	15.5	5.4 - 23.1
Fat, % of DM	10.5	4.3 - 18.7
Ash, % of DM	4.3	2.0 - 6.7

PROTEIN FRACTIONS IN DDGS¹

Item	Mean	Range
CP, % of DM	30.1	25.9 - 36.3
Soluble CP, % of CP	9.7	1.1 - 21.8
ADIP, % of CP	8.0	0.8 - 18.5
RDP, % of CP	46.5	31.5 – 59.8
IARUP, % of RUP	82.2	71.5 – 93.8

VARIATION IN DDGS COMPOSITION WITHIN ETHANOL PRODUCTION FACILITIES¹

Items with relatively low variability	C.V. range
DM, %	0.56 - 3.69
NDF, % of DM	3.99 - 10.29
CP, % of DM	2.04 - 5.85
RUP, % of CP	6.08 – 11.96
IARUP, %	4.06 – 7.01
Color score L	2.14 - 6.96

VARIATION IN DDGS COMPOSITION WITHIN ETHANOL PRODUCTION FACILITIES¹

Items with high variability	C.V. range
ADF, % of DM	12.9 – 28.1
Ether extract, % of DM	12.9 – 38.5
Ash, % of DM	6.7 – 19.7
Soluble protein, % of CP	11.4 - 61.2
ADIN, % of total N	34.5 - 61.3
Color scores a and b	8.3 - 68.4

VARIATION IN DDGS COMPOSITION ACROSS PRODUCTION FACILITIES¹

Item	Mean	C.V.	P
DM, %	92.7	1.7	< 0.01
NDF, % of DM	48.8	7.2	< 0.01
ADF, % of DM	15.5	16.9	0.04
Fat, % of DM	10.5	16.0	< 0.01
Ash, % of DM	4.3	12.4	< 0.01

VARIATION IN DDGS COMPOSITION ACROSS PRODUCTION FACILITIES¹

Item	Mean	C.V.	P
CP, % of DM	30.1	3.7	< 0.01
Soluble CP, % of CP	9.7	28.9	< 0.01
ADIP, % of CP	8.0	36.1	< 0.01
RDP, % of CP	46.5	7.7	< 0.01
IARUP, % of RUP	82.2	4.4	< 0.01

Distillers dried grains with solubles

VARIATION IN PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF DDGS ACROSS PRODUCTION FACILITIES¹

Item	Mean	C.V.	Р
Particle size > 2 mm, %	10.2	22.3	< 0.01
Particle size < 1 mm, %	58.4	20.7	< 0.01
Color score:			
L (lightness)	51.0	4.6	< 0.01
a (redness)	5.5	9.6	< 0.01
b (yellowness)	22.5	14.2	< 0.01

CAUSES OF VARIATION IN DISTILLERS GRAINS COMPOSITION

Grain used

CORRELATION BETWEEN PROTEIN				
FRACTIONS AND ADIN OR COLOR OF				
DDGS ¹				
Item	SP	ADIN	RDP	IARUP
ADIN	- 0.06	1.00	0.04	- 0.28**
L	- 0.19	- 0.27**	- 0.03	0.17
a	0.38**	- 0.16	0.33**	0.11
b	- 0.10	- 0.23 *	0.02	0.09

RUP Availability

◆ IAPRUP —



Relationship Between ADIP and RUP Availability for ADIP < 10% of CP



Relationship Between ADIP and RUP Availability for ADIP > 10% of CP



Relationship Between ADIP and RUP Availability for ADIP > 13% of CP



SUMMARY

- Production facility had a significant effect on all nutrient values.
- Within production facility, variation in DDGS composition was considerable except for NDF, CP, soluble CP, RDP, IARUP, and measurement of color lightness.

SUMMARY (continued)

Over the range of the data collected, the correlation between protein quality measurements and ADIP or DDGS color score was:

 \checkmark poor for ADIP values < 10% of CP,

✓ moderate for ADIP values >10% of CP,

✓ strong for ADIP values >13% of CP.

CONCLUSION

DDGS appears to be a good source of both RDP and intestinally available RUP for ruminants; but

- Routine sampling and analysis of DDGS is recommended.
- Sample darkness associated with ADIP values >13% of CP is a good indication of heat damage and low availability of protein.
- Further investigations should determine individual amino acid availability.