## Feeding Value of Corn DDGS for Poultry

Sally Noll, Ph. D. University of Minnesota

# What does corn-derived DDGS contribute to poultry diets?

- Protein (corn)
  - Amino acid content
  - Amino acid digestibility
- Energy (metabolizable energy)
  - Proximate Composition
- Phosphorus
  - Availability
- Xanthophylls (yolk and carcass pigmentation)



# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein (corn)
  - Amino acid content/balance
  - Influence of dietary protein level
    - Lowered protein diets

- Research by Parsons ('83)
- Lysine 1<sup>st</sup> limiting
  - Supplementation with lysine
- Tryptophan and arginine almost equally limiting

Limiting nature of tryptophan and arginine in DDGs for turkey toms

- University of Minnesota Trial (2003)
- No performance difference – control diet & 10% DDGS diet
- Lowered protein diet (LP) with 10% DDGs resulted in poorer F/G
- F/G restored with try & arg supplementation



# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein (corn)
  - Amino acid content/balance
    - Corn protein in DDGS limiting in lysine, arginine and tryptophan (Parsons et al 1983; Noll, 2003)
    - Source of threonine (Noll, 2003)
    - Important to formulate with minimums for:
      - Lys
      - Arg
      - Try

# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein (corn)
  - Amino acid digestibility
    - Ingredient processing in particular that of heating decreases digestibility of amino acids
      - Oil seed meals
      - Meat and bone meal
    - Associated with color change darkening
    - In DDGs, digestibility of amino acids is variable among sources, in particular that of lysine (Ergul et al, 2003)

## Lysine Digestibility for Poultry as Affected by Production Source

Digest. AA Coeff.



# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein
  - DDGS Amino acid digestibility and color
    - Lysine digestibility was shown to be correlated with Chromameter readings for L\* and b\* values (Ergul et al., 2003)

Fig. 1. Regression of digestible lys (%) and color (L\*, b\*) 60 55  $R^2 = 0.71$  • 50 45 40 \***q** , 35 L\* b\* Linear (L\*)  $R^2 = 0.74$ Linear (b\*) 30 25 20 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 Lys (%)

## Economics and DDGs Quality-Lysine Digestibility

DDGS Opportunity Cost in Commercial Poultry Grower Diet

## Comparison of DDGS Quality Total Amino Acids (Digestible)

%	Hi Dig Lys	Lo Dig Lys
СР	26.4	27.8
Met	.49(.43)	.51(.44)
Cys	.53(.42)	.49(.32)
Lys	.81(.64)	.72(.46)
Thr	1(.82)	1.03(.75)
Tryp	.24(.19)	.2(.16)

## Influence of digestible lysine on value of DDGs (US \$/cwt)

Ingredient		
Cost	High Dig Lys	Low Dig Lys
Corn, 3.10	4.78	4.28
Corn, 3.50	5.00	4.54
Corn, 5.30	6.02	5.70
SBM, 8.25	5.00	4.54
SBM, 8.70	5.21	4.72

## What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Recommendations re. amino acids
  - Formulate with minimums for lys, arg, & try
  - Formulate on a digestible amino acid basis

# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein (corn)
  - Amino acid balance
  - Amino acid digestibility
- Energy (metabolizable energy)
- Phosphorus
  - Availability
- Xanthophylls (yolk and carcass pigmentation)

## Metabolizable Energy for DDGS

- Importance of energy level
  - Feed conversion
  - Least cost formulation for high energy diets
- More recent determinations much higher than NRC (1994) reported value of AMEn 2480 kcal/kg (9% fat vs 10-11% in current DDGS)

Source	AMEn	TMEn
	(kcal/kg)	
NRC, 1994	2480	
Potter, 1966	2880	
Noll, 2004	2810-2850	2833
Roberson 2004	2760	
Batal & Dale, 2004		2831

## DDGs Economics and AME Energy Level

DDGs ME Kcal/kg	Fat Cost \$/100 lbs	% DDGs Inclusion \$4/100 lbs	DDGs Opportunity Cost, \$/100 lbs
2810	11	10	
2810	15	10	
2480	11	0	3.82
2480	15	0	3.34

# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

Protein

Energy (metabolizable energy)

Phosphorus

Availability

Xanthophylls (yolk and carcass pigmentation)

## Availability of Phosphorus

Ingredient	P, %	P, avail. %	% P Avail.
Corn*	.28	.08	28
SBM*	.62	.22	35
DDGs*	.72	.39	54
DDGs (UGA)	.74	~.47	61-68 (64)
DDGs(UI) DDGs (MSU)	.73	~.6	69-102 (82) 76-85 (80)

\*NRC, 1994

# What does DDGS contribute to poultry diets

- Protein
- Energy (metabolizable energy)
- Phosphorus
- Xanthophylls
  - yolk and carcass pigmentation

## DDGs and Xanthophylls – Book Values

- Corn 15-25 mg/kg
- Corn Gluten Meal 130-170 mg/kg
- DDGs 15-20 mg/kg
  - Limited analytical results
  - May have value in diets low in corn grain



## DDGS and Egg Yolk Pigmentation

- Roberson (2004)
  - 10% 2 wks fed (Exp 1)
  - 5% at 3 wks (Exp 2)
- Lumpkins (2003) no change
- Sanfandila field trial (Shurson, 2003) slight change in yolk color (10.6 vs 10.8)



## Roberson Experiment 2 – Yolk Color (9 wks)

DDGS	L*	a*	b*	Roche
0 %	77.9 <sup>a</sup>	2.70 d	88.1	8.63 <sup>b</sup>
5 %	75.9 <sup>b</sup>	4.19 <sup>c</sup>	86.7	8.98 <sup>a</sup>
10 %	76.2 <sup>b</sup>	4.74 <sup>b</sup>	87.5	9.02 <sup>a</sup>
15 %	75.9 <sup>b</sup>	6.11 <sup>a</sup>	87.7	9.22 <sup>a</sup>
SE	0.4	0.19	0.6	0.08
Trt, p<	0.004	<0.001	0.352	0.001
Linear, p<	0.007	<0.001	0.846	<0.001

### DDGS and Carcass Skin Pigmentation

- Lu and Chen, 2004
  - Domestic color chicken
  - Control and pigments (AP)
  - 10 or 20% DDGS
  - 20% DDGS plus AP
  - Xanthophyll content of
    - DDGS 20 mg/kg
    - Corn 6-7 mg/lg
  - Diets fed to 16 wks of age
  - DDGS provided some pigmentation to abdominal fat pad and cooked carcass skin
  - Concluded AP use could be decreased by 50% with DDGs use





## Inclusion levels for poultry

#### Broilers

- Waldroup (1981) up to 25% (adjusted for lys and ME)
- Lumpkins et al (2004) up to 15%

#### Performance Response of Broiler Chickens (0-42 days) to DDGS in Diets Adjusted and Not Adjusted for Energy



\*Different from control

Waldroup et al, 1981

DDGs – Broiler Diets (Lumpkins et al., 2004)

- Experiment 1 0 and 15% DDGs at two dietary energy levels (3200 and 3000 kcal/kg
- Experiment 2 0, 6, 12, & 18%

### DDGs and Broiler Performance

Diet Density & DDGs Level	Gain 18d G	G:F 18d
High, 0%	556a	782a
High, 15%	555a	772a
Low, 0%	523b	712b
Low, 15%	518b	705b

Lumpkins et al., 2004

### DDGs and Broilers

Level of	Gain 42d	G:F
DDGs	kg	0-42 d
0	2.31a	566
6	2.29a	554
12	2.29a	565
18	2.24b	554

Lumpkins et al., 2004

DDGs – Broiler Diets (Lumpkins et al., 2004)

- Experiment 1 0 and 15% DDGs at two dietary energy levels (3200 and 3000 kcal/kg – no difference in performance to 18 d re. DDGs
- Experiment 2 0, 6, 12, & 18%
  - BW to 42 days similar to 12%
  - Slight depression in BW at 18%
    - Lowered wts through 16 da

## DDGs in Chicken Broiler Diets

Adjustment for lysine and energy level
 Lowered level of use without adjustment
 Inclusion level of 15% possible
 Starter diets 6%
 Grower/Finisher 15%

## Inclusion levels for poultry

- Chicken Layers
  - Roberson 2004 up to 15%
  - Lumpkins 2003 up to 15% in diets of commercial energy density

### DDGs and Chicken Layers

- Roberson, 2004
  - Hy-line W36
  - 48 wk old hens
  - Two 9/10 wk trial
  - Level
    - 0, 5, 10, 15% DDGs



Laying Hen Study (Roberson, 2004)

Inconsistent level effects on:

- Weekly egg production (1 wk of 9 wks)
- Specific gravity
  - Exp 1 (1 wk of 4)
  - Exp 2 no effect
- No effect on egg weight

DDGS and Layer Performance (Lumpkins, et al. 2003)

- Treatments
  - 0 or 15% DDGs
  - Energy density
    - Commercial (2870 kcal/kg; 18.5% CP)
    - Low energy density (2800 kcal/kg; 17% CP)
- Hy-line W36 White Leghorns
- Summer trial (20 wk trial, June-October)

DDGS and Layer Performance (Lumpkins, et al. 2003)

- No effect of DDGs on:
  - Hen feed intake
  - Egg weight
  - Yolk color
  - Egg quality
- Interaction of DDGs level & diet energy

Egg production of hens fed diets with and without DDGs (0, 15%) at commercial or low energy density



From: Lumpkins et al., 2003

DDGS and Layer Performance (Lumpkins, et al. 2003)

- Low energy & 15% DDGs slight depression in egg production
  - Insufficient caloric intake
  - Amino acid digestibililty
    - Diets formulated on total amino acid basis

## DDGs and Recent Chicken Layer Studies

Field trial – Sanfandila (Shurson, 2003)

- Babcock 300
- 12 wk trial
- 10% Norgold DDGs

## Sanfandila Field Trial

Performance	Control	Norgold DDGS	P value
EP,%	68.7	72.4	.02
First class EP,%	66.2	68.9	.10
EW/hen/wk, kg	.31	.32	.11
Cull eggs,% of total	2.2	3.5	
Dirty Eggs	1.4	2.2	.002
Egg Quality			NS

Summary: DDGs and Recent Chicken Layer Studies – Inclusion Levels

Roberson, 2004

- 0, 5, 10, 15%
- Lumpkins, et al. (2003)
  - **0**, 15%

Field trial – Sanfandila (Shurson, 2003)

10% inclusion

## DDGs in Chicken Layer Diets

Possible source of xanthophyll

Inclusion level of 15 %

- acceptable performance
- Less than 15% for low density diets

## Current Market Turkey Research

#### Roberson, 2003

- Hen turkeys grow/finish diets
- Isocaloric; digestible amino acids
- Noll ongoing several experiments
  - Tom turkeys grow/finish diets (5-19 wks)
  - Formulation isocaloric; digestible amino acids

## DDGs and Turkey Hen Diets

DDGs %	BW 105 da, kg	F/G 75-105 da
Exp. 1		
0	8.53*	2.99
9	8.41	3.07
18	8.23	3.21
27	8.16	3.21
Exp. 2		
0	8.51	3.44
7	8.46	3.54
10	8.50	3.46

\* Significant Linear Component

From: Roberson, 2003

### Market Tom Trials-Grow/Finish Diets (University of Minnesota)

Trial*	Trt	DDGs,%	BW, kg	F/G
1	Control	0	18.9	2.44
	DDGs	12-8	19.0	2.48
2	Control	0	19.2	2.64
	DDGs	11-8	19.2	2.65
3	Control	0	18.4	2.67
	DDGS	10	18.3	2.63

\*Trial weeks of age; 1=5-19 wks; 2=8-19 wks; 3=11-19 wks

### Market Tom Trials-Level of Inclusion UM Trial 4 (Winter Trial)



### Market Tom Trials-Level of Inclusion UM Trial 5 (Summer Trial)



## Differences Trial 4 vs 5

- Feed intake levels
  Winter vs summer rearing conditions
- Formulation diet protein level
  - No supplemental thr vs .05% thr use
  - Lowered dietary protein with .05% thr

### Inclusion levels for poultry

- Market Turkeys
  - Hens
    - Up to 10% (Roberson et al 2003)
  - Toms (Noll, 2004)
    - Up to 10% in summer or lowered protein diets
    - Up to 20% in winter or normal protein diets

## Recommendations for Use of DDGs

- Corn DDGs (to 15%) can be fed to chicken layers and broilers; Turkeys - to 10% for hens; 20% of diet for toms
  - Lower levels in diets for young poultry
- Formulate with minimums for tryptophan and arginine
- Formulate on basis of digestible amino acid content
- Lower maximum level of use in low density or low protein diets
- Consider AMEn value of 2750 to 2850 kcal/kg
- Increase available phosphorus (higher than NRC '94) 65%

## University of Minnesota DDGS Webpage

www.ddgs.umn.edu



## Acknowledgments UM Turkey Research Program

- University of Minnesota staff-Jeanine Brannon, Fred Hrbek, Sheila Groth
- University of Illinois Carl Parsons
- Michigan State University Kevin Roberson, Jayne Kalbfleisch
- University of Wisconsin River Falls Bonnie Walters
- MTGA Nutrition Subcommittee- Virgil Stangeland, George Speers, Jim Halvorson, Gary Johnson, Dick Nelson, Greg Engelke
- Funding Minnesota Turkey Research & Promotion Council, Central Bi-Products, ADM, Heartland Lysine, Minnesota Corn Growers Association, Midwest Ethanol Cooperative, AURI, DakotaGold